# COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

#### **FISCAL NOTE**

L.R. No.: 4101-02

Bill No.: SCS for SB 704

Subject: Office of Administration; Office of the State Auditor; Department of Elementary

and Secondary Education; Department of Higher Education; State; Federal - State

Relations; Governor and Lieutenant Governor; State Departments

Type: Original

<u>Date</u>: January 29, 2016

Bill Summary: This proposal requires all departments and divisions of the state, including

statewide offices, to post copies of contracts entered into for provision of legal services from outside firms on the Missouri Accountability Portal.

## FISCAL SUMMARY

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	
Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	
Total Estimated Net Effect on Other State Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

Numbers within parentheses: ( ) indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 7 pages.

L.R. No. 4101-02 Bill No. SCS for SB 704

Page 2 of 7 January 29, 2016

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	
Total Estimated Net Effect on FTE	0	0	0	

☐ Estimated Net Effect (expenditures or reduced revenues) expected to exceed \$100,000 in any of the three fiscal years after implementation of the act.

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	
<b>Local Government</b>	\$0	\$0	\$0	

L.R. No. 4101-02 Bill No. SCS for SB 704 Page 3 of 7 January 29, 2016

#### FISCAL ANALYSIS

#### **ASSUMPTION**

Officials from the **Department of Economic Development**, the **Department of Mental Health**, the **Department of Revenue**, the **Department of Public Safety - Missouri Highway Patrol**, the **Office of Administration - Budget and Planning** each defer to Office of Administration - Information Technology Services Division for fiscal impact.

In response to a previous version of this proposal, officials from the Missouri House of Representatives, the Department of Natural Resources, the Department of Agriculture, the Department of Insurance, Financial Institutions and Professional Registration, the Department of Corrections, and the Department of Social Services each deferred to Office of Administration - Information Technology Services Division for fiscal impact.

Officials from the **Office of the State Courts Administrator** state there may be some impact but there is no way to quantify that currently. Any significant changes will be reflected in future budget requests.

Office of the Governor, the Department of Higher Education, the Department of Labor and Industrial Relations, the Department of Public Safety - Capitol Police, the Department of Public Safety - Division of Fire Safety, the Department of Public Safety - Missouri Gaming Commission, the Joint Committee on Public Retirement, the Legislative Research, the Missouri Consolidated Health Care Plan, the Office of Prosecution Services, the Missouri State Employees Retirement System, the MoDOT and Patrol Employees Retirement System, the Administrative Hearing Commission, the Office of the State Auditor each assume the current proposal would not fiscally impact their agency.

In response to a previous version of this proposal, officials from the Department of Elementary and Secondary Education, the Department of Health and Senior Services, the Department of Public Safety - Director's Office, the Department of Public Safety - Division Alcohol and Tobacco Control, the Department of Public Safety - Missouri Veterans Commission, the Missouri Lottery Commission, the Missouri Department of Conservation, the Missouri Ethics Commission, the Missouri Lieutenant Governor, the Department of Transportation, the Missouri Senate, the Office of the State Treasurer and the State Tax Commission each assumed the proposal would not fiscally impact their respective agencies.

Officials from the **Office of the Secretary of State (SOS)** state many bills considered by the General Assembly include provisions allowing or requiring agencies to submit rules and

L.R. No. 4101-02 Bill No. SCS for SB 704 Page 4 of 7 January 29, 2016

### ASSUMPTION (continued)

regulations to implement the act. The SOS is provided with core funding to handle a certain amount of normal activity resulting from each year's legislative session. The fiscal impact for this fiscal note to the SOS for Administrative Rules is less than \$2,500.

The SOS recognizes that this is a small amount and does not expect that additional funding would be required to meet these costs.

However, the SOS also recognizes that many such bills may be passed by the General Assembly in a given year and that collectively the costs may be in excess of what the office can sustain with the core budget. Therefore, the SOS reserves the right to request funding for the cost of supporting administrative rules requirements should the need arise based on a review of the finally approved bills signed by the governor.

**Oversight** assumes the SOS could absorb the costs of printing and distributing regulations related to this proposal. If multiple bills pass which require the printing and distribution of regulations at substantial costs, the SOS could request funding through the appropriation process.

Officials from the **Attorney General's Office** assume that any potential costs arising from this proposal can be absorbed with existing resources.

In response to a previous version of this proposal, officials from the **Joint Committee on Administrative Rules** stated that the proposal is not anticipated to cause a fiscal impact to their agency beyond its current appropriation.

In response to a previous version of this proposal, officials from the **Office of Administration** - **Information Technology Services Division (ITSD)** assumed the proposal would require changes to be made to the Missouri Accountability Portal to provide for the ability to post certain information of legal service contracts from outside firms onto the portal. This would require 133.92 hours of consultant work by ITSD at \$75 per hour this equates to a fiscal impact of \$10,044 for FY17. The annual maintenance of the system will require \$2,059 in FY18 and \$2,110 in FY19.

**Oversight** assumes ITSD would be able to absorb some of the programming costs, resulting in a minimal negative fiscal impact.

L.R. No. 4101-02 Bill No. SCS for SB 704 Page 5 of 7 January 29, 2016

#### ASSUMPTION (continued)

Officials from the **City of Jefferson** state they do not have the ability to access the State's public accountability portal and cannot estimate the cost of this proposal.

Officials from the **Springfield Police Department** assume the current proposal would not fiscally impact their agency.

Officials from the **Cole County Sheriff's Department** assume this proposal would have significant fiscal impact.

Officials from the **Boone County Sheriff's Department** assume the current proposal would not fiscally impact their agency.

Officials from the **County of St. Louis** and **City of Kansas City** each assume the current proposal would not fiscally impact their local governments.

**Oversight** assumes the Senate Committee Substitute requires all local political subdivisions, state departments, and all institutes of higher education to make audit reports available on the Missouri Accountability Portal. All audits completed by the Office of the State Auditor are on their website. The Office of Administration can add a link with existing resources. Oversight also assumes Political Subdivisions can submit links or electronic versions of their audit reports to Office of Administration to post on the portal, all with existing resources.

FISCAL IMPACT - State Government	FY 2017 (10 Mo.)	FY 2018	FY 2019
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government	FY 2017 (10 Mo.)	FY 2018	FY 2019
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

#### FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

L.R. No. 4101-02 Bill No. SCS for SB 704 Page 6 of 7 January 29, 2016

#### FISCAL DESCRIPTION

The proposed legislation appears to have no direct fiscal impact.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

#### SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Department of Agriculture

Department of Economic Development

Department of Elementary and Secondary Education

Department of Higher Education

Department of Health and Senior Services

Department of Insurance, Financial Institutions and Professional Registration

Department of Mental Health

Department of Corrections

Department of Labor and Industrial Relations

Department of Revenue

Department of Public Safety

Department of Social Services

Joint Committee on Administrative Rules

Joint Committee on Public Retirement

Legislative Research

Missouri Lottery Commission

Missouri Consolidated Health Care Plan

Missouri Department of Conservation

Missouri Ethics Commission

Missouri Lieutenant Governor

Department of Transportation

Office of Prosecution Services

Missouri State Employees Retirement System

MoDOT and Patrol Employees Retirement System

Office of Administration

Office of the State Auditor

Missouri Senate

Office of the State Treasurer

State Tax Commission

Attorney General's Office

Department of Natural Resources

LO:LR:OD

L.R. No. 4101-02 Bill No. SCS for SB 704 Page 7 of 7 January 29, 2016

# SOURCES OF INFORMATION (continued)

Office of the Governor
Missouri House of Representatives
City of Jefferson
County of St. Louis
City of Kansas City
Cole County Sheriff's Department
Boone County Sheriff's Department

Mickey Wilson, CPA Director

Mickey Wilen

January 29, 2016

Ross Strope Assistant Director January 29, 2016